



United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Patent and Trademark Office	
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,149	10/09/2003	Sang-Don Jang	1572.1166	8823
21171	7590 08/04/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			NOVOSAD, JENNIFER ELEANORE	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW Y	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005		3634		
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/681,149	JANG, SANG-DON
Examiner	Art Unit
Jennifer E. Novosad	3634

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
			3634					
		Jennifer E. Novosad						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ress				
	E REPLY FILED <u>20 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
nave under set for nay in NOT	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
	NDMENTS	had a single the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100				
3. [∑	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	but phor to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below):	ecause				
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		TE BOIOW),					
	(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).							
7. ⊠	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an o	explanation of				
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7 and 8</u> .							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10-32</u> .							
	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
	The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	In E. Nan					
		•	Jernifer E. Novosa Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3634	nd				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1, i.e., especially, "plurality" throughout the claim and "inwardly projecting" in line 5, would require further serach and/or consideration.